

Enhancing RDAP filtering capabilities

Mario Loffredo, Maurizio Martinelli IIT-CNR/Registro.it







- REST services
- RDAP status
- .it proposals to IETF RegExt WG
- Reasons for filtering
- "filter" parameter
- Short demo
- Considerations
- Future developments
- Q & A







- REST services SHOULD offer capabilities for efficient management of result sets:
 - filtering
 - sorting
 - paging
 - subsetting
- Reasons:
 - minimizing the bandwidth usage
 - speeding up the response time
 - improving the precision of the queries and, consequently, obtain more reliable results
 - decreasing CPU time and memory spent on both server and client







- RDAP provides limited search capabilities (RFC 7482)
 - the search condition consists of a single predicate
- A search query can potentially generate a large result set
- The result set:
 - must be scrolled when looking for the desired data (best case scenario)
 - can be truncated according to the server limits (worst case scenario)
- RDAP lacks of result filtering, sorting, paging, and subsetting capabilities:
 - you cannot restrict the result set by adding search conditions
 - you cannot specify possible sort criteria to have the most relevant objects at the beginning of the result set
 - you cannot scroll the result set by subsequent queries when the result set is truncated
 - you cannot request for a partial response







- Two I-Ds about managing large RDAP responses:
 - I-D.loffredo-regext-rdap-sorting-and-paging
 Loffredo, M., Martinelli, M., and S. Hollenbeck, "Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Query Parameters for Result Sorting and Paging", draftloffredo-regext-rdap-sorting-and-paging-03, March 2018
 - I-D.loffredo-regext-rdap-partial-response
 Loffredo, M. and M. Martinelli, "Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Partial Response", draft-loffredo-regext-rdap-partial-response-01, March 2018
- One I-D about reverse search:
 - I-D.loffredo-regext-rdap-reverse-search

Loffredo, M. and M. Martinelli, "Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Reverse Search", draft-loffredo-regext-rdap-reverse-search-01, March 2018







- The extraction of the desired information from a RDAP response could be time and resource consuming
 - even if sorting, paging and subsetting would be implemented
- Users can obtain exactly what they are searching for
- If pagination is not implemented, filtering can avoid the loss of relevant results due to truncation
- Users might be interested in performing searches that are currently unsupported:
 - a registrar might search its own domains for a certain status or for a specific event in a range of dates
 - a law authority might search all the contacts for a specific email







- Parameter:
 - Name: filter
 - Value: a search condition
- How to represent the value?
 - traditionally, a search condition includes a set of predicates combined by logical operators AND, OR and NOT
 - a predicate contains three components:
 - a property name;
 - an allowed operator for the property;
 - a value (or a list of values) whose type is allowed for the property
- The value can be represented as a JSON expression
 - JSON can represent search conditions whose complexity ranges from very simple to extremely complicated
 - JSON is both human-readable and machine-processable





Registro - "filter" parameter - Predicate properties

- The properties already defined in *I-D.loffredo-regext-rdap-sorting-and-paging* can be used in a predicate:
 - Object common properties:
 - registrationDate
 - reregistrationDate
 - lastChangedDate
 - expirationDate
 - deletionDate
 - reinstantiationDate
 - transferDate
 - lockedDate
 - unlockedDate
 - Object specific properties:
 - Domain: IdhName
 - Nameserver: ldhName, ipV4, ipV6.
 - Entity: fn, handle, org, email, tel, country, countryName, locality
- "status" and "roles" should be also considered
- "name" vs. "ldhName", "unicodeName"?







- Basic type:
 - string
 - number
 - boolean
 - datetime
 - RFC3339 full-date and date-time formats are considered
- Array of a basic type







- Operators for properties whose type is a basic type:
 - **no values:** isnull, isnotnull
 - one value: eq, ne, le, ge, lt, gt
 - array of two values: between
 - array of N values: in
 - Specific operators on strings (e.g. "contains", "starts with") can be implemented using eq/ne operators and the wildcard
- Operators for properties (such as status) whose type is an array:
 - *any*: the property must contain at least one of the values in the array
 - *all*: the property must contain all the values in the array, but it could also contain additional values
 - *exactly*: the property must contain all the values in the array and cannot contain additional values
- Operators for predicates:
 - one predicate: not
 - N predicates: and, or





Registro filter" parameter - The value in JSON

- A simple predicate consists of a JSON array:
 - the number of items ranges from 2 (operators without value) to 3 (operators with value):
 - ["lastChangedDate", "isnull"]
 - ["registrationDate", "gt", "2018-01-20"]
 - ["registrationDate", "between", ["2018-01-20", "2018-01-21"]]
 - ["country", "in", ["it","ch","de","fr"]]
 - deserialization of a JSON array into an object:
 - it is not a standard capability of JSON libraries
 - it can be implemented through a few lines of code
 - a JSON array is more compact than a JSON object
- A complex condition consists of a JSON object, including a single member:
 - the logical operator is the member name
 - the sub-predicates (one or more) are the member values
 - {"or":[["registrationDate","ge","2018-01-20"],["expirationDate","le","2019-01-20"]]}
 - {"not":{"or":[["registrationDate","ge","2018-01-20"],["expirationDate","le","2019-01-20"]]}}





Registro filter" parameter - The value in JCR

```
@{root} $expression = {
  (
    $or_expression |
    $and_expression |
    $not_expression |
    $predicates_array |
    $predicate
  )
}
```

```
$or_expression = {
    "or" : [ $expression, $expression + ]
}
```

```
$and_expression = {
"and" : [ $expression, $expression + ]
}
```

```
$not_expression = {
"not" : $expression
}
```

```
$predicates_array = [ $predicate + ]
```

```
$predicate = [
 /^[A-Za-z]+$/,
 ("isnull"|"isnotnull")
 (("eq"|"ne"), $basic_value)
 (("le"|"lt"|"gt"|"ge"), $not_pattern_value)
 ( "between ", [ $not_pattern_value, $not_pattern_value ] ) |
 (("in"|"any"|"all"|"exactly"), $array_value)
$basic_value = @{not} (
{ // : any * } |
 [ any * ]
 null
)
$not_pattern_value = @{not} (
{ // : any * } |
[ any * ]
 null
 $pattern_value
)
```

```
$pattern_value = /^[^\*]*\*[^\*]*$/
```

\$array_value = [\$not_pattern_value +]





Registro f "filter" parameter - To be noted

- isnull and isnotnull are used when the predicate represents, respectively, the absence or the presence of a property in the expected results
 - ["transferDate","isnull"]
- All predicates in an array are implicitly combined by "and"
 - {"and":[["registrationDate", "ge", "2018-01-20"], ["expirationDate", "le", "2019-01-20"]]}
 - [["registrationDate","ge","2018-01-20"],["expirationDate","le","2019-01-20"]]
- The operator "between" is a shortcut for two predicates combined by "and" including the same property
 - {"and":[["registrationDate","ge","2018-01-20"],["registrationDate","le","2019-01-20"]]}
 - ["registrationDate", "between", ["2018-01-20", "2019-01-20"]]
- The operator "in" is a shortcut for N predicates combined by "or" including the same property and the "eq" operator
 - {"or":[["country","eq","it"],["country","eq","ch"],["country","eq","de"], ["country","eq","fr"]]}
 - ["country", "in", ["it", "ch", "de", "fr"]]







- Search domains whose name starts with "w"
 - https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/domains?name=w*.it
- How many are there ?
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/domains?name=w*.it&count=1</u>
- Which is the oldest ?
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/domains?</u>
 <u>name=w*.it&count=1&sortby=registrationDate</u>
- What are the domains registered since 2015?
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/domains?</u> <u>name=w*.it&count=1&sortby=registrationDate&filter=["registrationDate","gt ","2015-01-01"]</u>

• What are the inactive domains registered since 2015?

- <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/domains?</u> <u>name=w*.it&count=1&sortby=registrationDate&filter=[["registrationDate","g</u> <u>t","2015-01-01"],["status","any",["inactive"]]]</u>
- Return only the domain names sorted by LDH name
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/domains?</u> <u>name=w*.it&count=1&sortby=ldhName&filter=[["registrationDate","gt","20</u> <u>15-01-01"],["status","any",["inactive"]]]&fieldSet=id</u>







- The implementation of the filter parameter is technically feasible
 - operators for filtering results are supported by DBMSs
 - the impact on RDAP is limited to the search query format
- Additional technical considerations:
 - almost all properties in RDAP are optional
 - if a predicate includes an unimplemented property, an error should be returned
 - the filter parameter adds further conditions to the search pattern, to increase flexibility:
 - the pattern could be wildcard and search conditions could be described entirely by the filter value
 - otherwise, the filter parameter might be taken as a new segment path

 domains?filter={"or":[["IdhName","eq","wha*"],["IdhName","eq","whi*"]]}
 - most suitable properties of the topmost objects have been reported in predicates
 - they can be extended with other properties that have not been considered yet
 - servers could implicitly filter results according to user access levels:
 - the implicit filter can be represented in the same way as the explicit filter
 - final filter = {"and":[<implicit filter>,<explicit filter>]}
 - some characters in predicate values must be encoded to have URL-safe queries
 - blank encoded as '%20', '+' encoded as '%2B'







- Search queries typically require more server resources than lookup queries
- This increases the risk of server resource exhaustion and subsequent denial of service due to abuse
- Risks can be mitigated by:
 - limiting the rate of search requests
 - truncating and paging results
 - requesting a partial response
 - enhancing filtering capabilities







- RDAP servers can provide different capabilities:
 - some query paths cannot be available
 - bootstrapping is not implemented
 - queries can be extended with additional parameters
 - authentication and access levels can be implemented
 - responses can contain proprietary extensions
- How could RDAP clients face with such a diversity?
- Proposal:
 - servers could provide their own policies via a REST API specification format
 - OpenAPI, RAML, API Blueprint, JSON API, JSON Schema
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/specification</u>
 - Bootstrapping can help find the desired specification (e.g. draft-ietf-regext-rdap-object-tag-02)
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/specification/VRSN</u>
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/specification/BRNIC</u>
 - <u>https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it/specification/GOOGLE</u>
 - clients could automatically configure themselves
 - <u>http://petstore.swagger.io/</u>







Thanks for your attention! Q & A



