~ <ROW> ~

## ROW12 Q&A Zoom Window June 20th, 2023, 13:00 - 17:00 UTC

Operation Workshop

regiops.net

Mario Loffredo (Guest) 6:39 AM

Can you please elaborate a bit why you consider JSContact easy to get wrong?

This question has been answered live

Mario Loffredo (Guest) 6:41 AM

Will SimpleContact ensure backward compatibility with all jCard features currently used in RDAP ?

Andy Newton 6:57 AM

See my answer in the slides. We can use the gTLD profile, the RIR profile, and the current deployments of the ccTLDs. This is much like what we did in 2015. See the work done by CNNIC.

Stéphane Bortzmeyer (Guest) 6:45 AM

Which media type to use in the answer, when the request was application/json? json or rdap+json?

Andy Newton 6:56 AM

The Content Type must be rdap+json. The Accept can have both or either rdap or rdap+json

Werner Staub (Guest) 6:51 AM

Will the client be able to display data that is not currently part of the current RDAP profiles without requiring an update? Suppose, for instance, that future ICANN RDAP profiles offer fields indicating whether and by whom the identity of an object holder has been identified, would that require an update to the client?

This question has been answered live

Werner Staub (Guest) 6:54 AM

Corrected question: Will the client be able to display data that is not part of the current RDAP profiles without requiring an update? Suppose, for instance, that future ICANN RDAP profiles offer fields indicating whether, how and by whom the identity of an object holder has been verified, would that require an update to the client?

Andy Newton 6:59 AM

In general, RDAP extensions are complex objects and require custom code. We did think about a simple name/value pair extensions in the early days of RDAP, but that didn't go anywhere. I'd be happy to explore it again if there is a need.

Mario Loffredo (Guest) 7:05 AM

Think that at the end the result will be not so different from JSContact at least with regard to the jCard features currently used in RDAP. About JSContact features that RDAP implementers should take care of, there are at least documents that can be used as guidance. No document existed to guide RDAP implementers in finding the suitable features in jCard and have not heard people concerned about vCard properties such as HOBBY, DEATHDATE and so on. We'll resume the discussion at IETF meeting.

This question has been answered live

Calvin Browne (Guest) 7:17 AM

How does this relate to the current EPP and RDAP ecosystem?

Steve Crocker (Guest) 7:23 AM

We expect our modeling system maps into RDAP queries. We checked on this a while ago. We need to check more carefully going forward to be sure. There are some important subtleties. Some privacy experts say it's ok to provide country location but not city and street address in certain situations. To conform to such a requirement, the RDAP query would have to include country but not other location details. If this cannot be done, then there would have to a filter that removes the extra data before the response is returned.

Stephen Deerhake (Guest) 7:17 AM

Steve, you might want to consider dating the data, and having a provision to "time out" the accuracy of the data based on how long ago it was validated.

This question has been answered live

Werner Staub (Guest) 7:31 AM

Question for Steve: does the proposed data model have the ability to integrate external public identifiers from other registries than those of the Internet itself, such as company numbers, tax identifiers, D.U.N.S numbers,

SWIFT BIC codes, GS1 Location Numbers or Legal Entity Identifiers? These obviate the need for many data items in a domain name registry, as they can be obtained from the authoritative registers based on their respective policies.

Steve Crocker (Guest) 7:40 AM

Werner, we have not attempted to specify the exact details for determining identity. We simply have a way of specifying what level of validation is required for each data element. The methods you're referring to would be relevant if the specification requires V3 (identity) validation. If a registrar says it does V3 level validation, it would document the details outside of our modeling system.

Tom Barrett - EnCirca (Guest) 7:56 AM Which blockchain naming service is most complementary to the DNS?

This question has been answered live

Tom Barrett - EnCirca (Guest) 7:56 AM i.e. least risk to consumers

This question has been answered live